

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 BEAUTYKO LLC; LINOI LLC; SHOP
11 FLASH USA INC.; BEAUTYKO USA INC.;
AND BENNOTI USA INC.,

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

14 AMAZON FULFILLMENT SERVICES,
15 INC.,

16 Defendant.

Case No. 16-355RSM

ORDER DENYING AMAZON'S
MOTION TO CORRECT CASE SETTING

17 This matter comes before the Court on Amazon Fulfillment Services, Inc.'s
18 ("Amazon") "Motion to Correct Case Setting." Dkt. #91. Amazon argues that there has been
19 no jury demand in this case and the deadline for making a demand has passed. Amazon admits
20 that Plaintiffs indicated an intent to file a jury demand in the Joint Status Report, Dkt. #12 at 5,
21 and that the Court issued an Order on the following day setting a jury trial, Dkt. #13. Amazon
22 argues that this action by the Court was in error. Dkt. #91 at 2. Amazon argues that the Court
23 cannot grant relief under Rule 39(b) unless some cause beyond mere inadvertence is shown.
24 *Id.* at 3 (citing *inter alia*, *MEECO Mfg. Co., Inc. v. Imperial Mfg. Group*, Case No. C03-3061-
25 JLR (W.D. Wash. June 20, 2005); *Pac. Fisheries Corp. v. HIH Cas. & Gen. Ins., Ltd.*, 239 F.3d
26 1000, 1002 (9th Cir. 2001)).
27
28

1 In Response, Plaintiffs ask that the Court “enter an order that the issues raised in the
2 parties’ respective claims and defenses be adjudicated by jury pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
3 Procedure 39(b) (“Rule 39”) in the event that the Court does not outright conclude that Amazon
4 is estopped from bringing its Motion at this late date and time.” Dkt. #93 at 1. Plaintiffs ask
5 that the Court treat this Response as a cross-motion to the extent necessary under Rule 39(b).
6 Plaintiffs argue that the actions of the parties demonstrate more than mere inadvertence in
7 failing to make a timely jury demand. Plaintiffs cite to the fact that Amazon was on notice of
8 the jury demand prior to the deadline and stated in an email that “Amazon will not object if
9 Beautyko makes a jury demand.” Dkt. #93 at 3 (citing Dkt. #94-1 at 2).
10

11 Issues on which a jury trial is not properly demanded are to be tried by the court. Fed.
12 R. Civ. P. 39(b). But the court may, on motion, order a jury trial on any issue for which a jury
13 might have been demanded. *Id.* Although an untimely jury demand waives a party’s right to
14 trial by jury, a court should “indulge every reasonable presumption against waiver of the jury
15 trial right.” *Lutz v. Glendale Union High Sch.*, 403 F.3d 1061, 1064 (9th Cir. 2005) (internal
16 quotation omitted).
17
18

19 The parties have proceeded in this litigation for the past 17 months without correcting
20 the above error of the Court. The parties have repeatedly stipulated to jury trial deadlines,
21 multiple orders have set deadlines for jury instructions and proposed voir dire questions, and
22 this case has come to the eve of trial repeatedly without this issue being raised. This case
23 differs factually from *MEECO*, *supra*, cited by Amazon. In *MEECO*, the Court set a jury trial
24 date but indicated that the parties should only submit proposed voir dire and jury instructions
25 “if a jury demand is made.” *MEECO*, Case No. C03-3061-JLR, Dkt. #270 at 2 (citing Dkt.
26 #85). Here, the Court repeatedly entered Orders indicating that a jury demand had been
27
28

1 successfully made, and Amazon proceeded to file stipulations referring to jury trial deadlines.
2 Plaintiffs' inaction thus constitutes more than mere inadvertence or oversight and relief under
3 Rule 39(b) is warranted. The Court further finds that Amazon should be estopped from
4 opposing such relief, given its participation in the mistake, the clear lack of prejudice it will
5 suffer from proceeding with a jury trial, and the lateness at which it raises this issue.
6

7 Having reviewed the relevant briefing and the remainder of the record, the Court hereby
8 finds and ORDERS that:

9 Amazon's Motion to Correct Case Setting (Dkt. #91) is DENIED.
10

11 DATED this 31 day of October, 2017.
12

13
14 

15 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
16 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28